## <u>Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee</u>

Date of Review/Recommend	ation: April 7, 2006			
Water Body Under Evaluation	on:			
Identification #:				
Name:	Ditch #2 (C)			
Length of Segment:	8.0 miles			
County (ies):	Ripley			
·				
Use Attainability Analysis St	•			
Identification #:	376			
Submitter:	Environmental Resources Coalition			
Date(s) Conducted:	April 15, June 2, & signed December 22, 2005			
Date Received:	December 22, 2006			
Use Evaluated:	Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBCR)			
UAA Completeness Check:				
All Required Information Included?		X Yes	No	
Correct Forms Used?		Yes	□ No	
Forms Signed and Da	ited?	Yes	□ No	
Comments:		<del></del>	<del>_</del>	
UAA Criteria Evaluated:	☐ 1-Natural Pollutant Sour☐ 2-Natural, Ephemeral, In☐ 3-Non-Remedial, Human☐ 4-Hydrologic Modificati☐ 5-Natural Physical Featu☐ 6-Substantial, Widespread	ntermittent or In Caused Concorns.	lition.	
Use Determination & Recom	mendation:			
	nce November 28, 1975?	Yes	☐ No	Unknown
	interviews were conducted.		<u> </u>	_
Is the evaluated use of	ttoinabla?	₩ Vaa	□ No	
Is the evaluated use a		Yes		The
stream five sit recom- recom- modifi	arvey occurred in June 2005 a met the maximum and avera tes evaluated. Due to meeting mended to be retained. Howe mends the segment be evaluated action (#4). This is because that it is in irrigation return flow	ge depth criter g the depth, W ver, the comm ted for a UAA the depth noted	ria in two sites BCR designati ittee strongly based on hydra is believed to	out of ion is rologic be from
Committee Recommend	lation: 🛛 Retain Use 🔲 M	Iodify Use	Remove Use [	Inconclusive
Committee Members:	Mary Clark, Ann Crawford,	Anne Peery		
	Water P	rotection & So	oil Conservatio	n Division
Missouri William		Water Protection Program		
Departme	ent of	Use Attainability Analysis		
			Toll Free (800)	•
<b>Language</b> Natural R	Resources			·